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IoT Security Challenges

► 75 billion IoT devices connected by 2030

► Vulnerabilities in the IoT: weak credentials, backdoors, software vulnerabilities, poor software update policy, etc

► Constantly evolving IoT malware landscape: Mirai, Reaper, HideNSeek, etc

► IoT botnets are primarily used to perform large-scale DDoS attacks.
IoT devices perform very specific tasks. The networking behavior is therefore very stable and predictable. → well suited for machine/deep learning techniques.

However, ML/DL algorithms require huge amount of data to be trained on.

This thesis attempts to answer the following question:
- How can deep learning help to monitor IoT networks?
  - IoT device type recognition system
  - IoT NIDS
- How can deep learning help to overcome the lack of IoT network traffic data?
  - Synthetic IoT network traffic data generation
Motivation:

► Huge diversity of IoT devices makes it difficult to come up with a specific network signature for each device type*. ML algorithms can learn patterns from data.

► Device blacklisting/whitelisting.

► Application of device-specific filtering rules.

► Malicious use of device type recognition: passive network traffic analysis to discover vulnerable devices.

*Device type: a specific model from a specific manufacturer.
Network traffic data description:

- Bidirectional TCP flows identified by Src IP, Dest IP, Src Port, Dest Port
- Features used to describe a flow are the size of N packets sent and received, and the corresponding inter-arrival times:
- A timeout is used to split long TCP connection into multiple bidirectional flows.
Experimental results:

► Traffic collected for 7 days from an experimental smarthome network. $N = 10$, timeout of 600 seconds.

► Six different supervised ML algorithms are tested to classify bidirectional flows: Random Forest, Decision Tree, SVM, k-Nearest Neighbors, Artificial Neural Network and Gaussian Naïve Bayes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>accuracy</th>
<th>micro-av. precision</th>
<th>micro-av. recall</th>
<th>micro-av. F1 score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RF</td>
<td>.999</td>
<td>.999</td>
<td>.999</td>
<td>.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DT</td>
<td>.995</td>
<td>.995</td>
<td>.995</td>
<td>.995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVM</td>
<td>.993</td>
<td>.993</td>
<td>.993</td>
<td>.993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KNN</td>
<td>.989</td>
<td>.989</td>
<td>.989</td>
<td>.989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANN</td>
<td>.986</td>
<td>.986</td>
<td>.986</td>
<td>.986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNB</td>
<td>.919</td>
<td>.919</td>
<td>.919</td>
<td>.919</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Set of anomaly detectors (AD), each trained for a specific device type.
Autoencoders learn to copy their inputs to their outputs under some constraints.

An autoencoder is very bad at reconstructing outliers. Hence, the reconstruction error $RE$ can be used to detect anomaly in IoT networks:

$$RE = \sum (\hat{x}_i - x_i)^2$$

The detection threshold is set so as to have a FPR on the validation set $FPR_{\text{Val}}$ that is equal to $FPR_{\text{desired}}$. 
IoT NIDS

\[ \text{FPR}_{\text{Val}} = 0.002 \]

\[ \text{FPR}_{\text{Val}} = 0.0005 \]
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IoT Network Traffic Generation

► Difficulty to find publicly available IoT network traffic data. Privacy concerns. Physically deploying real IoT devices to produce real network traffic data can be very costly.

► We aim at generating bidirectional flows represented by a sequence of packet sizes and a duration. While generating packet-level features such as the size of individual packets, our generator implicitly comply with flow-level features such as the ordering of the packets, the total number of packets or bytes per flow, and the duration of the flow.

\[
S = \{(size_1, direction_1), (size_2, direction_2), ..., (size_L, direction_L)\}
\]

Example:

\[
S = \{(60, sent), (60, received), (52, sent), (123, sent), (52, received), (135, received), (52, sent), (52, sent), (52, received), (52, sent), (0, PAD), ..., (0, PAD)\}
\]
Generative Adversarial Networks (GAN) are special neural network architectures that learn to generate realistic looking data. A GAN consist of two components: a discriminator and a generator.
Experimental results obtained using network traffic data produced by a Google Home Mini.

AE/WGAN-C

AE/WGAN-GP
Conclusion

Two ML based IoT network monitoring solutions were presented:

► IoT device type recognition system: supervised machine learning algorithms were trained to classify bidirectional flows based on the device type they belong to. An overall accuracy as high as 99.9% was achieved by the Random Forest classifier.

► IoT NIDS: autoencoders were trained to learn the legitimate networking behavior profile and to detect any deviation from it. Promising experimental results show that our method can achieve high TPR with a reasonable FPR.

► Synthetic IoT network traffic data generation: Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) were trained to generate sequences of packet sizes and duration representing bidirectional flows.


Questions?